

Brown - notes Part 2

- vii Andrus did not get W.C. McBERT and  
 viii Brecht Wall / also Brecht & Brett)  
 45 Ref Jack Martin. Compare with 162. Brown should have taken  
 his own Martin  
 47/ Story of labor group / America - but not LRC  
 48 Martins was at Home  
 49 CIA in not known admitted  
 Martins stated 11/1 as charge with Fannie  
 describes Brinquies as an attorney  
 Andrus stating not mentioned. Only in "dispute"  
 says no labor activities N.Y. 1962  
 Fannie in LAP "through the beginning of 1963"  
 50 Martins recalls Fannie "not around" 6-10. weekend. not being  
 of John, Phil? (see 51)  
 50-1 Ref to Martin call to Fannie-Kling. Also. He did not call  
 52 Recontacting returned to FBI with <sup>11/15/63</sup> Fannie 11/15/63. Arch?  
 Martins gave a written statement  
 74 Don't indicate Kling's "later" good of not in  
 No ref to Martins. Russo relation ship <sup>see</sup> 138  
 125 Russo said didn't know Leyton Martins  
 132 Phelan's "Lyon interview" indicated by a reporter. from  
 Boston-Angel newspaper" maybe. But he took that there  
 134 Russo in hypnosis sessions: Was man under hypnosis  
 conscious of what he is saying?  
 138 Of Russo told Martins "he would like to meet them personally"  
 why did not Martins, Shimo's put it, arrange it? (156)  
 152 B knows her confession of grand jury evidence & was not told  
 & W.C. just saying, & its right is needed  
 Ch. 12-4 With all that is in his mind, reference to Bob Scott & his type. There  
 140 10 was no deliberate - permeation

- 155 "Friends" remembered Bruner to Masters - B's 1/10?  
a venture / initials? Business or some? official?
- 156-7 Masters & by DA - the right person? Accidents (PCL)?
- 159 First of DA interest in Masters - Horner - Masters - because  
B knew Horner would not change "hanging" over Horner, but  
in his jurisdiction (see 160)
- 174 Editing of Horner's Bookend type, justified in advance as order in fact.
- 176 "The manuscript of the tape" Don't say which edited or com-  
posed. There is no doubt the tape was edited & what was left  
out is in substance in truth only. But as Bruner says, he  
does not even say it was edited before was his NRC?
- 179, 180 But how did he get it, was on NRC payroll - on papers
- 181 But how did he get to be double (maybe) who knew, just?  
The writing reveals B's awareness of the strangeness of this thing.
- 186 ~~Don't say the two Masters left the state because of his own  
writing that~~
- 189-90 I made his own efforts to "kill" another story. I was not wise,  
which is before the use date of 6/19 he gives
- 192 I was given he and Lammie's relationship off from a show  
that says of Lammie's shadowing picked up in home  
This mission is culpable
- 197 This is not the true story. That is of being paid for by Slater.  
He got the phone & left it in photo, leaving his baggage on it,  
but that this is not the story on the tape of the phone call
- 202 Don't say to B had threatened Pina, Regis "in writing and" "3.4.10"  
Bill followed my grasping appearance
- 203 P. L. K. on the 3 reporters, who told me
- 207 Many such bullets make
- 215 - Two nice discussions that serve only CIA/intelligence purposes
- 211 A - the whole Bruner to long as evidence, have transcripts of it



- on WFL, Long Island, W 02, N.Y.? Unsubstantiated  
a Public Office? Also ABC had a TV (2/18) (2/21/79)
- 223 of no bullet, still a bit. Pictures do show  
no "muzzle" - Chapman. Photograph me
- 228-9 one of many instances of internal controls. Letter to J.G.
- 234 Rather selective reference to Dependent's charges
- 236 Amidst "not before of killing" - but he did it - John H. Harris -  
+ is worried trying to get all this about Sheridan, but  
about his getting caught - fugitive court?
- 244 Chandler - ref to his last name, means of his name
- 247-8 Announcement not by Garrison, + with down under his  
order. But is it still interesting that after this brief of  
his account reference to the D.C. court, B. drops it - but not  
Moult? If he did not sign other documents, he  
could tell the whole story + with Garrison name. 2-5-5
- 252 "Through a note in Garrison's office" is an odd  
way of saying "Through a client's office"  
Special... now, would quite rightly be "statement" But  
he did the story - + should have removed it.
- 258 "Shows (Garrison) tried to remove" to depend on R. But  
keep without Garrison's comment. Stupid, smart?
- The O. wants to note that our honest review  
of the trial, esp with this title + these  
contents, had to acknowledge what the papers did  
not. That the trial missed the R.R. + the L.C.
- 260 Antithetically, he does not quote at all on leaving  
in charge of writing a TM report on doing it  
But not T.U. even like L.H.?
- 262
- Chapter 18 Handled trial as anti-climax - and so select only  
The book does with reference to the charge against  
Bethell - the only mention of him by name, even the

Get his grand is just about Fland going Nov 1963

There is earlier reference to what he had done -  
but none to the Bremer firm representation  
of him - and he is even left out of  
the index